



www.landuse.co.uk

Charnwood Core Strategy Main Modifications

Sustainability Appraisal Addendum

Prepared by LUC
March 2015

Planning & EIA
Design
Landscape Planning
Landscape Management
Ecology
Mapping & Visualisation

LUC LONDON
43 Chalton Street
London
NW1 1JD
T +44 (0)20 7383 5784
london@landuse.co.uk

Offices also in:
Bristol
Glasgow
Edinburgh



Land Use Consultants Ltd
Registered in England
Registered number: 2549296
Registered Office:
43 Chalton Street
London NW1 1JD
LUC uses 100% recycled paper

Project Title: Sustainability Appraisal of Charnwood's Core Strategy

Client: Charnwood Borough Council

Version	Date	Version Details	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
1	27/02/15	Draft SA Addendum	Kate Nicholls	Taran Livingston	Jeremy Owen
2	25/03/15	Final SA Addendum	Kate Nicholls	Jeremy Owen	Jeremy Owen
3	31/03/15	Updated final SA Addendum	Kate Nicholls	Jeremy Owen	Jeremy Owen

Contents

Introduction	1
Previous SA work undertaken	1
SA method	2
Summary of SA implications of the Main Modifications	2
Next Steps	4
Appendix 1	5
Detailed Assessment of Main Modifications and their Implications for the SA	5
Appendix 2	29
Updated SA Monitoring Framework	29

Introduction

- 1.1 This Sustainability Appraisal Addendum has been prepared by LUC on behalf of Charnwood Borough Council as part of the integrated Sustainability Appraisal (SA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the emerging Charnwood Core Strategy.
- 1.2 This SA Addendum relates to the proposed Main Modifications to the Core Strategy (March 2015) and builds on the findings of the SA Report that LUC produced on behalf of the Council in March 2013 for the Draft version of the Core Strategy. It should therefore be read in conjunction with that document.
- 1.3 Charnwood Borough Council and LUC have been taking an integrated approach to the SA and SEA of the Core Strategy, in line with Government guidance. Throughout this document, the term 'SA' should therefore be taken to mean 'SA incorporating the requirements of the SEA Directive'.

Previous SA work undertaken

- 1.4 Charnwood Borough Council and LUC working on behalf of the Council have previously carried out several iterations of the SA process in relation to the Core Strategy throughout its development. **Table 1** below lists the iterations of the Core Strategy that have been produced and consulted on by the Council, as well as the accompanying SA work that was undertaken at each stage.

Table 1: Iterations of the Charnwood Core Strategy and accompanying SA Work

Plan Iteration	Accompanying SA Work
N/A	SA Scoping Report
Core Strategy Issues and Options (June 2005)	Core Strategy DPD Preferred Options SA Report (February 2006)
Charnwood 2021: Planning for Our Next Generation (Core Strategy Preferred Options) (February 2006)	
Planning for Our Next Generation: Alternative Strategies (September 2007)	Core Strategy 2021 Sustainability Appraisal Report: Main Report (October 2007)
Charnwood 2026: Planning for our Next Generation – Further Consultation (October 2008)	Charnwood 2026 Core Strategy DPD: Further Consultation Report – SA Report (September 2008)
Planning for Growth: Core Strategy Supplementary Consultation (June 2012)	Core Strategy Supplementary Consultation: Interim SA Report (June 2012)
Draft Core Strategy (March 2013)	SA Report (March 2013)
N/A [Submission of Core Strategy]	Charnwood Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Supplementary Report (October 2013)

- 1.5 The most recent full SA report for the Core Strategy is the March 2013 SA Report relating to the Draft version of the Core Strategy. It was published on the Council's website for consultation alongside that version of the Plan, and described in detail the approach that has been taken to the SA, including the various iterations of the SA report that have been produced and how the SA process has influenced the development of the Core Strategy thus far.

- 1.6 The Charnwood Core Strategy was submitted to the Secretary of State for examination in December 2013 and hearing sessions were held between December 2014 and January 2015. Following the close of these hearing sessions the Inspector wrote to the Council stating that a number of Main Modifications were required to make the Core Strategy sound.
- 1.7 A set of Main Modifications to the Core Strategy has been produced as part of the examination process and the purpose of this SA Addendum is to consider the implications of those changes for the findings of the SA process to date. The work set out here draws on the SA findings reported on previously by LUC on behalf of Charnwood Borough Council and assesses only how the proposed Main Modifications affect those conclusions.
- 1.8 Therefore, this SA Addendum considers how each of the Main Modifications that are proposed to the Charnwood Core Strategy affect the SA findings that were presented in the March 2013 SA Report, including changes to the cumulative effects of the Core Strategy as a whole on the SA objectives.

SA method

- 1.9 The March 2013 SA Report for the Draft Core Strategy describes in detail the methodology that has been used throughout the SA process, with SA matrices and clear colour coded scores being used to present the findings.
- 1.10 The table of Main Modifications has been used as the starting point for this SA Addendum. An extra column has been added to the table in which the implications of each Main Modification for the SA findings are considered. A clear statement is made regarding whether any changes to the March 2013 SA findings are expected as a result of each proposed modification. Where changes have been identified these are shown in the table in **bold** text.
- 1.11 As no entirely new policies or sustainable urban extensions (SUEs) have been introduced into the Core Strategy through the Main Modifications, additional SA matrices did not need to be produced.
- 1.12 Finally, consideration was given to the cumulative effects of the Charnwood Core Strategy and whether the likely cumulative effects that were identified in the March 2013 SA Report are affected by the proposed Main Modifications.

Summary of SA implications of the Main Modifications

- 1.13 The table setting out the proposed Main Modifications and describing the implications of each for the March 2013 SA findings can be found in **Appendix 1**, and the key findings are summarised below.
- 1.14 Most of the Main Modifications relate to changes to the housing allocations in the Core Strategy. While the overall housing figure has been reduced from 17,380 to 13,940, the time period for the delivery of the housing has also been reduced from 2006-2028 to 2011-2028. The figure of 13,940 reflects the up-to-date assessment of housing need¹. Whilst the housing figure set out in the Core Strategy appears lower, the total amount of housing to be delivered in the district over the period 2006-2028 is largely unchanged due to under delivery during the years 2006 to 2014. As such, the likely effects of policy CS1 (which sets out the overall strategy for delivering housing development) are unchanged from those set out in the March 2013 SA report. At that stage, likely significant positive effects were identified in relation to SA objectives 13 (housing) and 16 (sustainable economy). No likely significant negative effects were identified.
- 1.15 The numbers of homes allocated at the SUEs and other locations identified for housing development have also changed, as detailed in the table in **Appendix 1**. However, as with the overall housing figure, these changes mainly reflect recent permissions and completions and do not change the overall amount of homes to be delivered. Even the removal of policy CS24 which

¹ GL Hearn (June 2014) Leicester and Leicestershire Strategic Housing Market Assessment

allocated the direction for growth at Shepshed does not affect overall housing delivery as the policy was removed in recognition of recent permissions at Shepshed having met the requirement of the policy.

- 1.16 As such, while the housing figures in the Core Strategy have changed, the effects identified in the March 2013 SA Report remain largely unchanged, in particular the significant positive effects identified on SA objective 13 (housing) are still considered likely, as the Core Strategy still provides for the amount of development required to meet the identified housing need in the district.
- 1.17 In the case of the SUE allocations for North East of Leicester (policy CS19), North of Birstall (policy CS20) and West of Loughborough (policy CS22), while the overall housing numbers have not changed, the Main Modifications would result in slightly more of the homes being delivered after 2028 i.e. outside the plan period. While this would not change the likely effects of the developments as described in the March 2013 SA report, the timescales over which effects would occur would be in the longer term. However, this is not a significant change as the number of extra homes that would be delayed until after 2028 is small.
- 1.18 The March 2013 SA Report identified potential but uncertain significant negative effects on SA objective 4 (cultural heritage) as a result of policies CS22: West of Loughborough SUE and CS23: Loughborough Science and Enterprise Park. These potential significant negative effects were associated with the proximity of the proposed development to Garendon Registered Park and Gardens. The proposed Main Modifications include the addition of heritage mitigation proposals into both policies, in response to concerns raised by English Heritage at the Pre-submission stage. Charnwood Borough Council has been working jointly with English Heritage and the developer of the West of Loughborough SUE to address the heritage concerns². English Heritage noted in its statement submitted during the examination hearings³ that it considered the level of harm to Garendon Park as a result of the West of Loughborough SUE to be reduced from substantial to less than substantial, as a result of updates to the scheme and the inclusion of comprehensive mitigation. English Heritage also recommended the addition of a heritage mitigation criterion into policy CS23⁴. Therefore, the potential effects of both policies on SA objective 4 has been reduced from an uncertain significant negative effect to a potential but uncertain minor negative effect.

Cumulative Effects of the Plan

- 1.19 The March 2013 SA Report included an assessment of the likely cumulative effects of the Core Strategy, in line with the requirements of the SEA Regulations. The very few changes that have been identified to the SA scores as a result of the proposed Main Modifications relate to only one of the Plan policies and do not affect the overall likely cumulative effects of the Plan.

Monitoring

- 1.20 The March 2013 SA Report included a proposed monitoring framework for monitoring the likely significant effects of the Core Strategy (Table 7.1 in the SA Report). This drew from and reflected indicators proposed for the monitoring framework included in the Core Strategy. One of the proposed Main Modifications relates to changes to the monitoring framework in the Core Strategy, including new indicators. Therefore, a small number of additions have been made to the SA monitoring framework, as shown in **Appendix 2**, with the additional indicators shown in **bold**. References to policy CS24, which is proposed to be deleted from the Core Strategy, have also been removed, as have references to the potential significant negative effects of policies CS22 and CS23, which have been reduced to potential minor negative effects as described above.

² Charnwood Borough Council Local Plan Core Strategy Examination in Public – Statement of Common Ground between Charnwood Borough Council, Persimmon Homes North Midlands, William Davis Ltd, English Heritage & Leicestershire County Council (PSD/32).

³ English Heritage (November 2014) Charnwood Borough Council Local Plan Core Strategy Examination in Public – Hearing Statement by English Heritage: Matter No. 12: West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension.

⁴ English Heritage (November 2014) Charnwood Borough Council Local Plan Core Strategy Examination in Public – Hearing Statement by English Heritage: Matter No. 13: Loughborough University and Science and Enterprise Park.

Next Steps

- 1.21 The proposed Main Modifications are being subject to a period of public consultation between April and May 2015, and this SA Addendum is being published alongside the Main Modifications document during that consultation. Following the consultation, the representations received will be considered by the Inspector when producing his final report regarding the soundness of the Core Strategy.

LUC

March 2015

Appendix 1

Detailed Assessment of Main Modifications and their Implications for the SA

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
Policy CS1: Development Strategy			
MM1	Policy CS1 Page 19 - 33 Amend policy text Paragraphs 4.2 - 4.10 Paragraphs 4.11 - 4.15 Amend Figure 1 Paragraphs 4.23 - 4.63	Development Strategy We will make provision for at least 13,940 new homes between 2011 and 2028. Leicester Principal Urban Area Our priority location for growth will be the Leicester Principal Urban Area, where we will deliver provision will be made for at least 7,260 5,500 new homes and up to 46 hectares of employment by land between 2011 and 2028. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sustainable urban extension of approximately 4,500 homes to the north east of Leicester, delivering at least 3,750 approximately 3,250 homes and up to 13 hectares of employment land by 2028 and the remaining homes beyond the plan period as part of a comprehensive and integrated development; • a direction of growth for at least 1,500 approximately 1,500 homes and up to 15 15 hectares of employment as part of a sustainable urban extension to the north of Birstall, delivering approximately 1,345 homes and up to 15 hectares of employment land by 2028 and the remaining homes beyond the plan period as part of a comprehensive development; and • a direction of growth for up to 8,750 sqm sqm of offices and up to 16 hectares of general employment land within the Watermead Regeneration corridor; and Loughborough and Shepshed The majority of our remaining growth will be met at Loughborough and Shepshed where we will deliver provision will be made for at least 6,450 5,000 new homes and up to 22 hectares of employment land by between 2011 and 2028. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a sustainable urban extension of approximately 3,000 homes to the west of Loughborough, delivering at least 2,500 approximately 2,440 homes and up to 16 hectares of employment land by 2028 and the remaining homes beyond the plan period as part of a comprehensive and integrated development; • a direction of growth for at least 500 homes approximately 	The change to the total number of homes to be provided in Charnwood by 2028 (a reduction of 3,440 from 17,380) is made as a result of a recalculation of the amount of homes required, based on recent completions and permissions and starting from a 2011 baseline instead of 2006. Therefore, although the total housing figure to be delivered through the Core Strategy has been reduced, the amended figure reflects the up-to-date assessment of need and that other homes have been provided through completions and commitments separate to the Core Strategy process. Therefore, the likely significant positive effect on SA objective 13 (housing) identified in the March 2013 SA Report remains unchanged, as do the other SA scores. The changes to the housing allocations at the individual locations are addressed separately below under each relevant policy (CS19, CS20, CS22 and CS24) in relation to their implications for the SA findings. The changes to the supporting text reflect the most up to date evidence regarding housing need and the changes to policy CS1, and also do not affect the 2013 SA findings.

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		<p>1,200 homes within and adjoining Shepshed to support its regeneration; and</p> <p>Service Centres</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> delivering providing for at least 3,170 3,000 new homes and up to approximately 7 hectares of employment land within and adjoining our Service Centres by between 2011 and 2028; <p>Other Settlements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> planning providing for at least 500 new homes within settlement boundaries identified in our Site Allocations and Development Management Development Plan Document by between 2011 and 2028; <p>4.2 We have objectively assessed the need for homes in light of up to date evidence and this shows that 790 homes a year is an appropriate housing requirement for Charnwood. This amounts to 17,380 new homes during our plan period (2006 – 2028). We must plan for these homes in places where they are needed. <u>Our Borough forms an important part of a wider housing market of Leicester and Leicestershire. All the planning authorities in this area have co-operated to produce the Leicester and Leicestershire Strategic Housing Market Assessment 2014 (the 2014 SHMA). The 2014 SHMA provides evidence of the need for homes across the market area to 2031. It identifies an Objectively Assessed Need for 820 homes a year in Charnwood to meet our demographic needs and accommodate new jobs and economic growth.</u></p> <p>4.3 Our Borough forms an important part of a wider housing market which includes Leicester and the authorities of Leicestershire. Our housing needs come from this relationship. Younger, newer and less well off households often choose to live in Leicester City. As these households get larger, older and more established they tend to move out of the city into the County in pursuit of a different quality of life. Charnwood, like other Leicestershire districts, offers a range of larger homes, better access to the countryside and well regarded schools. Living in Charnwood also allows good access to the benefits that are enjoyed by those living in the City such as a greater choice of jobs, retail, leisure and cultural activities. <u>The Leicester and Leicestershire local authorities have also co-operated to understand the supply of land within each area. Each authority</u></p>	

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		<p><u>has agreed that they can meet their individual needs for new homes until 2028. As no unmet need has been identified by our housing market partners there is no reason to consider whether more than 820 homes a year should be provided for in Charnwood. As a result, the housing requirement for Charnwood is 13,940 homes between 2011 and 2028. The position beyond 2028 will be considered by the Council and our partners through joint strategic planning and the preparation of other Leicester and Leicestershire plans.</u></p> <p>4.4 <u>Our strategy for delivering these homes is set out in the following chapter and a detailed breakdown of how we expect them to come forward over the plan period is set out in the housing trajectory in Appendix 1.</u> This It takes account of our relationship with the City has been taken into account and around 40% of 330 of the 790 new homes we need to plan for need to be delivered <u>will be</u> within and adjoining the Leicester Principal Urban Area. This amounts to 17% of the growth directed to the Leicester Principal Urban Area as a whole through the former Regional Plan. This is the largest proportion of Principal Urban Area growth being delivered by an authority outside the City. Leicester City is itself providing for 63% of the Principal Urban Area needs.</p> <p><i>Delete Paragraphs 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 and 4.10</i></p> <p>4.12 We have considered what effect our growing population and changing economy will have on businesses and the number of jobs needed. Our evidence <u>The SHMA 2014</u> suggests that 13,400 <u>approximately 12,000</u> new jobs will be needed between 2010 <u>2011</u> and 2031.</p> <p><i>Delete Paragraph 4.13</i></p> <p>4.14 We have considered the evidence for new jobs, businesses requirements and the need for employment land. Our strategy will provide for up to <u>We expect to see approximately</u> 75 hectares of land <u>delivered between 2011 and 2028</u> to meet our strategic employment needs. <u>Our strategy will give flexibility and choice and enable economic growth.</u></p> <p>4.25 We believe the best way to deliver the majority of the homes and jobs needed is through sustainable urban extensions to the edge of Leicester City, <u>and</u> Loughborough and Shepshed. . .</p> <p>4.39 In addition to these large developments, we expect <u>there are</u> about</p>	

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		<p>2,000 1,100 homes to be developed completed or committed on other smaller sites within and adjoining the Principal Urban Area, making the most effective use of brownfield land to meet our needs for homes and jobs.</p> <p>Loughborough and Shepshed</p> <p>4.46 Closely related to the western growth area of Loughborough is the direction of growth at Shepshed. <u>There are commitments for approximately 1,200 new homes at Shepshed.</u> We expect at least 500 homes that support our regeneration strategy for the town. This development, along with benefits associated with the town's proximity to the western growth area, will be used to help address the decline of the town centre. <u>We will amend the settlement boundary to reflect these commitments at Shepshed through our Site Allocations and Development Management Development Plan Document.</u></p> <p><i>Delete Paragraph 4.48</i></p> <p>4.50 In addition to these major developments, we expect <u>there are a further</u> about 3,500 1,800 homes completed or committed and up to 6 hectares of employment land to be developed on smaller sites within and adjoining Loughborough and Shepshed, making the most effective use of brownfield land to meet our needs for homes and jobs.</p> <p><i>Delete Paragraph 4.51</i></p> <p>4.54 A small amount of housing and employment development is necessary in the Service Centres to maintain their facilities and services to benefit the people who live there and to support surrounding communities. <u>There are commitments for around 3,500 homes in the Service Centres. This is sufficient to meet the levels of planned provision and we only expect to see small scale windfall developments within the settlement boundaries between 2014 and 2028.</u> We expect a total of at least 3,170 new homes and up to <u>approximately 7</u> hectares of employment land to be delivered in Service Centres during the plan period <u>between 2011 and 2028.</u> We have been monitoring the amount of houses built since 2006, the start of the plan period, and a large proportion of these homes have already been built or granted planning permission(see figure 1 on page 20).</p> <p><i>New paragraphs after Paragraph 4.63</i></p> <p><u>4.64 We have been monitoring the amount of new homes that have been built in Charnwood since the start of the plan period in</u></p>	

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report																																										
		<p><u>2011. The table below shows the number of homes provided by the development strategy. The number of homes provided in Service Centres and the Rest of the Borough are already sufficient to meet the levels of planned provision. The Core Strategy housing trajectory does not include windfall sites which may come forward during the Plan period. This potential housing supply provides additional flexibility to the overall housing supply in the Borough.</u></p> <p><u>4.65 The Objectively Assessed Need is for 820 homes a year in Charnwood. The supply of homes will be assessed against this number as an overall requirement for Charnwood.</u></p> <p><i>Delete Figure 1 after Paragraph 4.8 and replace with new Figure 1 after new Paragraph 4.65</i></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="613 635 1503 1125"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>Planned Housing Provision 2011 - 2028</u></th> <th><u>Comple tions 2011 - 2014</u></th> <th><u>Commit ments* as of Novemb er 2014</u></th> <th><u>Residual Provision Required up to 2028</u></th> <th><u>Estimated Supply from Strategic Sites up to 2028</u></th> <th><u>Estimated Total Supply from Completions, Commitments and Strategic Sites 2011 - 2028 ***</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>Principal Urban Area</u></td> <td><u>5,500</u></td> <td><u>401</u></td> <td><u>730</u></td> <td><u>4,369</u></td> <td><u>4,595</u></td> <td><u>5,726</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Loughboro ugh and Shepshed</u></td> <td><u>5,000</u></td> <td><u>412</u></td> <td><u>2,511</u></td> <td><u>2,077</u></td> <td><u>2,440</u></td> <td><u>5,363</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Service Centres</u></td> <td><u>3,000</u></td> <td><u>778</u></td> <td><u>2,682</u></td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td><u>3,460</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Rest of Borough</u></td> <td><u>500</u></td> <td><u>211</u></td> <td><u>676</u></td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td><u>887</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Charnwood Total</u></td> <td><u>14,000**</u></td> <td><u>1,802</u></td> <td><u>6,599</u></td> <td><u>6,446</u></td> <td><u>7,035</u></td> <td><u>15,436</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><u>* Commitments include sites with planning permission or with a resolution to grant permission subject to S.106, sites under construction and sites saved under policy H/1 of the Borough of Charnwood Local Plan (2004).</u></p> <p><u>** Figure rounded up from 13,940 (820 x 17yrs)</u></p> <p><u>*** Additional windfall sites may also come forward within the settlement boundaries between 2014 and 2028</u></p>		<u>Planned Housing Provision 2011 - 2028</u>	<u>Comple tions 2011 - 2014</u>	<u>Commit ments* as of Novemb er 2014</u>	<u>Residual Provision Required up to 2028</u>	<u>Estimated Supply from Strategic Sites up to 2028</u>	<u>Estimated Total Supply from Completions, Commitments and Strategic Sites 2011 - 2028 ***</u>	<u>Principal Urban Area</u>	<u>5,500</u>	<u>401</u>	<u>730</u>	<u>4,369</u>	<u>4,595</u>	<u>5,726</u>	<u>Loughboro ugh and Shepshed</u>	<u>5,000</u>	<u>412</u>	<u>2,511</u>	<u>2,077</u>	<u>2,440</u>	<u>5,363</u>	<u>Service Centres</u>	<u>3,000</u>	<u>778</u>	<u>2,682</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>3,460</u>	<u>Rest of Borough</u>	<u>500</u>	<u>211</u>	<u>676</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>887</u>	<u>Charnwood Total</u>	<u>14,000**</u>	<u>1,802</u>	<u>6,599</u>	<u>6,446</u>	<u>7,035</u>	<u>15,436</u>	
	<u>Planned Housing Provision 2011 - 2028</u>	<u>Comple tions 2011 - 2014</u>	<u>Commit ments* as of Novemb er 2014</u>	<u>Residual Provision Required up to 2028</u>	<u>Estimated Supply from Strategic Sites up to 2028</u>	<u>Estimated Total Supply from Completions, Commitments and Strategic Sites 2011 - 2028 ***</u>																																							
<u>Principal Urban Area</u>	<u>5,500</u>	<u>401</u>	<u>730</u>	<u>4,369</u>	<u>4,595</u>	<u>5,726</u>																																							
<u>Loughboro ugh and Shepshed</u>	<u>5,000</u>	<u>412</u>	<u>2,511</u>	<u>2,077</u>	<u>2,440</u>	<u>5,363</u>																																							
<u>Service Centres</u>	<u>3,000</u>	<u>778</u>	<u>2,682</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>3,460</u>																																							
<u>Rest of Borough</u>	<u>500</u>	<u>211</u>	<u>676</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>887</u>																																							
<u>Charnwood Total</u>	<u>14,000**</u>	<u>1,802</u>	<u>6,599</u>	<u>6,446</u>	<u>7,035</u>	<u>15,436</u>																																							

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report								
Policy CS3: Strategic Housing Needs											
MM2	Policy CS3 Pages 37 - 42 Amend policy text Paragraph 5.13 Paragraph 5.18 - 5.20	<p>We will manage the delivery of 17,380 at least 13,940 new homes <u>between 2011 and 2028</u> to balance our housing stock and meet our community's housing needs by 2028.</p> <p>We will do this by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seeking the following targets for affordable homes within housing developments, <u>other than those for 10 units or less and which have a maximum combined gross floorspace of no more than 1,000 sqm</u>, having regard to market conditions, economic viability and other infrastructure requirements: 30% affordable housing within the sustainable urban extension north east of Leicester and west of Loughborough and the direction of growth at north of Birstall and Shepshed; on sites of 10 dwellings or more in the following urban areas and service centres: <table border="1" data-bbox="622 805 1469 1292"> <thead> <tr> <th>Location</th> <th>Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Thurmaston Shepshed</td> <td>20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Birstall Loughborough Anstey Barrow Upon Soar Mountsorrel Sileby System</td> <td>30%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Quorn Rothley</td> <td>40%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> on sites of 5 dwellings in the following rural locations: 	Location	Target	Thurmaston Shepshed	20%	Birstall Loughborough Anstey Barrow Upon Soar Mountsorrel Sileby System	30%	Quorn Rothley	40%	<p>The reference to the total number of homes to be provided in Charnwood Borough and the time period within which they will be provided have been amended to align with the changes to policy CS1 which have been assessed separately above in terms of their implications for the SA findings.</p> <p>The deletion of the bullet point relating to 30% affordable housing provision in certain locations does not affect the SA findings as the same threshold is set out in the policy, it has just been moved into the table.</p> <p>The targets set out in the table are broadly unchanged, only a small number of changes have been made to the settlements listed in the table – Cotes and Cropston have been removed from the 40% target list, and Rothley and the Ridgeway Area of Rothley have been listed as one entry (Rothley including Ridgeway).</p> <p>The affordable housing targets set out in the policy for the SUEs north east of Leicester and west of Loughborough as well as the direction of growth north of Birstall now apply only to developments of more than 10 units or which have a combined gross floorspace of at least 1,000m². Previously those size thresholds only applied at the other locations named in the policy. However, the policy still goes a long way towards ensuring that affordable housing is provided to meet local need. Therefore, the likely significant positive effect on SA objective 13: housing that was identified in the March 2013 SA report remains unchanged, as do the other SA findings.</p>
Location	Target										
Thurmaston Shepshed	20%										
Birstall Loughborough Anstey Barrow Upon Soar Mountsorrel Sileby System	30%										
Quorn Rothley	40%										

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification		Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		Location	Target	
		East Goscote Thurcaston	30%	
		Barkby Barkby Thorpe Beeby Burton on the Wolds Cossington Cotes Cropston Hathern Hoton Newtown Linford Prestwold Queniborough Ratcliffe on the Wreake Rearsby Ridgeway Area of Rothley Seagrave South Croxton Swithland Thrussington Ulverscroft Walton on the Wolds Wanlip Woodhouse Woodhouse Eaves Woodthorpe Wymeswold	40%	
		Location	Target	

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification		Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		<u>North East of Leicester Sustainable Urban Extension</u> <u>West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension</u> <u>North of Birstall Direction of Growth</u>	<u>30%</u>	
		<u>Thurmaston</u> <u>Shepshed</u>	<u>20%</u>	
		<u>Birstall</u> <u>Loughborough</u> <u>Anstey</u> <u>Barrow Upon Soar</u> <u>East Goscote</u> <u>Mountsorrel</u> <u>Sileby</u> <u>System</u> <u>Thurcaston</u>	<u>30%</u>	
		<u>Barkby</u> <u>Barkby Thorpe</u> <u>Beeby</u> <u>Burton on the Wolds</u> <u>Cossington</u> <u>Cotes</u> <u>Cropston</u> <u>Hathern</u> <u>Hoton</u> <u>Newtown Linford</u> <u>Prestwold</u> <u>Queniborough</u> <u>Quorn</u> <u>Ratcliffe on the Wreake</u> <u>Rearsby</u> <u>Rothley (includes Ridgeway)</u>	<u>40%</u>	

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		<p><u>Seagrave</u> <u>South Croxton</u> <u>Swithland</u> <u>Thrussington</u> <u>Ulverscroft</u> <u>Walton on the Wolds</u> <u>Wanlip</u> <u>Woodhouse</u> <u>Woodhouse Eaves</u> <u>Woodthorpe</u> <u>Wymeswold</u></p> <p>5.13 However our evidence suggests that there are not enough affordable homes to meet the needs of our community. In 2008 <u>Between 2011 and 2031</u> the estimated number of affordable homes required to address outstanding and newly arising needs was 382 <u>is 180</u> houses a year. . .</p> <p>5.18 . . . We have considered how many affordable homes we may be able to deliver and have set an ambitious but realistic target of 3,192 <u>3,060</u> new affordable homes to be delivered with our partners in Charnwood between 2006 <u>2011</u> and 2028.</p> <p>5.20 . . . The proportion of affordable homes we expect by area <u>within and adjoining each settlement</u> is set out in Policy CS3.</p> <p><i>Delete Paragraph 5.22</i></p>	
Policy CS4: Houses in Multiple Occupation			
MM3	Policy CS4 Page 44 Amend policy text	We will also <u>prepare further policy and guidance in our Site Allocations and Development Management Development Plan Document and</u> prepare a Supplementary Planning Document on Houses in Multiple Occupation.	No change to SA findings – this minor addition has been made to ensure that the other plans to be prepared are referred to correctly.
Policy CS5: Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople			
MM4	Policy CS5	<u>We will support sites for gypsies, travellers and travelling show people that are:</u>	No change to SA findings. The text now at the start of the policy has simply been moved from

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
	<p>Page 45 - 46</p> <p>Amend policy text</p> <p>Paragraphs 5.36 and 5.37</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>closely related to a town or village;</u> • <u>appropriate in scale</u> • <u>well-related to local infrastructure and services, including safe and convenient access to the road network; and</u> • <u>do not cause significant detrimental impact to the existing community.</u> <p>We will meet the needs of the Gypsy and Traveller Community for at least 8 permanent pitches, 10 transit pitches and 16 plots for show people by 2028 by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • requiring a site for <u>at least</u> 4 permanent pitches at each of our allocated sustainable urban extensions in accordance with Policies CS19 and CS22; • requiring a site for <u>at least</u> 4 showpeople plots at each of our strategic housing developments in accordance with Policies CS19, CS20, and CS22 and CS24; • <u>allocating a site for at least 4 showpeople plots in our Site Allocations and Development Management Development Plan Document; and</u> • allocating one or more sites for up to <u>at least</u> 10 transit pitches in our Site Allocations and Development Management Development Plan Document, and • supporting sites for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople that are closely related to a town or village are appropriate in scale, relate well to local infrastructure and services including safe and convenient access to the road network and do not cause significant detrimental impact to the existing community. <p>5.36 . . . we will need to provide a total of <u>at least</u> 8 permanent pitches, . . .</p> <p>5.37 . . . We will also invite the gypsy and traveller community to promote sites in their ownership and as part of <u>identify sites in</u> our Site Allocations and Development Management Development Plan Document. We will also consider land in our ownership as part of this process and ask our other public sector partners to don the same.</p>	<p>further ahead in the policy.</p> <p>The additional text summarising the total provision to be made provides clarity but does not change the amount of pitches and plots to be provided.</p> <p>The use of the wording 'at least' provides some flexibility with regards to the level of provision to be made and allows the Council to respond to changing levels of need. This further reinforces the potential significant positive effect on SA objective 13 (housing) that was already identified in the March 2013 SA Report.</p> <p>Other changes to the policy wording have been made to reflect the deletion of policy CS24 (which has been assessed separately further ahead in this table). While four showpeople plots would no longer be able to be provided at a Shepshed allocation, these will be delivered elsewhere and the total provision of 16 plots is unchanged.</p> <p>The changes to the supporting text in paragraphs 5.36 and 5.37 are minor wording changes to reflect the changes made to policy CS5, and also do not affect the 2013 SA findings.</p>
<p>Policy CS6: Employment and Economic Development</p>			

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
MM5	Policy CS6 Page 50 - 51 Amend policy text Paragraphs 6.29 and 6.31	<p>We will do this by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Delivering up to 75 hectares of land between 2011 and 2028 for strategic employment purposes in accordance with Policy CS1; <p>6.24 Our evidence The SHMA 2014 suggests that about 13,400 approximately 12,000 new jobs will be needed between 2010 2011 and 2031, due in part . . .</p> <p>6.29 . . . We will also make sure new jobs are provided close to our major housing developments to provide and encourage opportunities for people to walk, cycle and use public transport, rather than use their cars. <u>Some employment developments such as retail, offices, entertainment and leisure are best suited to town centre locations. We will support proposals for town centre uses where they are in accordance with Policy CS9.</u></p> <p>6.31 We have considered the evidence and the need for employment land. Our strategy will provide for up to 75 hectares of land to meet our strategic employment needs. <u>Between 2011 and 2014 around 1.5 hectares had been completed. A further 25.5 hectares has planning permission and is expected to be delivered over the plan period. In making provision for up to 75 hectares of employment land through strategic growth sites the strategy will provide choice and flexibility in the employment land supply and allow for the very long time horizons sought by the commercial development and investment markets. We expect around 48 of the 75 hectares of strategic land to be delivered by 2028.</u></p>	<p>The amount of employment land to be provided is unchanged although the timescales over which it would be provided have changed slightly; therefore while the effects identified in the March 2013 SA Report would not change, they would be experienced over a slightly different timescale.</p> <p>The amendment to paragraph 6.24 is to update the plan and refer to the latest evidence with regards to requirements for jobs.</p> <p>The additional text added to paragraph 6.29 is to provide additional context for Policy CS9, which is considered below.</p> <p>The additional wording added to paragraph 6.31 provides extra information about how and why the employment land figure in policy CS6 has been calculated and does not itself require appraisal.</p>
Policy CS9: Town Centres and Shops			
MM6	Policy CS9 Page 57 - 65 Amend policy text Paragraphs 6.59	<p>We will also support commercial leisure uses, including restaurants that support activity throughout the day and night for a range of age groups and which support the main retail attraction of the Town Centre.</p> <p><u>We expect around 70% of all additional floorspace for main town centre uses to be provided in Loughborough Town Centre between 2011 and 2028.</u></p>	<p>No change to SA findings. The additional text in the policy requiring 70% of additional floorspace for main town centre uses to be provided in Loughborough town centre reflects paragraph 6.65 of the pre-submission Core Strategy text and reinforces the significant positive effect on</p>

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
	and 6.88	<p>We will require a retail impact assessment for proposals over 1,000 m² (gross) in out of centre Loughborough Town Centre locations. We will use these assessments to ensure that retail proposals support the vitality and viability of Loughborough Town Centre.</p> <p>We will require a retail impact assessment for proposals over 500 m² (gross) in edge of centre District Centre locations and 200 m² for other locations in Charnwood. We will use these assessments to ensure retail proposals support the vitality and viability of Loughborough Town Centre and our network of District and Local Centres.</p> <p>Thurmaston Retail Park</p> <p>We support limited new retail and 'town centre' development in Thurmaston Retail Park where it can be clearly demonstrated that it is the minimum necessary to ensure the vitality and viability of the Retail Park and where it does not compromise the vitality and viability of other centres and of our overall strategy for town and village centres.</p> <p><u>We will apply a sequential approach to the location of proposals for main town centre uses which prioritises sites within centres ahead of edge of centre sites. Out of centre locations will only be considered if sequentially preferable sites are not available.</u></p> <p><u>We will require impact assessments for proposals for main town centre uses in edge of centre or out of centre locations where the gross floorspace proposed is above the following thresholds:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Loughborough – 1,000 sqm</u> • <u>District Centres – 500 sqm</u> • <u>Other locations – 200 sqm</u> <p>The boundaries of Loughborough Town Centre, the District and Local Centres and Thurmaston Retail Park will be identified through the Site Allocations and Development Management Development Plan Document.</p> <p><i>Delete Paragraphs 6.59 and 6.88</i></p>	<p>SA objective 3 (vibrancy and viability of settlements) that was already identified in the March 2013 SA Report. The removal of the text relating to supporting limited development at Thurmaston retail park should further reinforce the positive effects of the policy on SA objective 3.</p> <p>The amendments to the text regarding the requirement for impact assessments and the thresholds at which they would apply has been reordered but the meaning of the policy in relation to the issue is unchanged, and therefore does not affect the 2013 SA findings.</p>
Policy CS10: Rural Economic Development			
MM7	Policy CS10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allowing up to <u>approximately</u> 7 ha of employment land to be 	No change to SA findings. While the wording

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
	Amend policy text Page 66 – 68 Paragraph 6.90	distributed between the designated Service Centres; 6.90 Whilst most new businesses will be located on the edge of Leicester or at Loughborough and Shepshed, we still want to see jobs in our Service Centres. We will make up to approximately 7 hectares of land available for employment between the Service Centres . . .	change from 'up to' to 'approximately' could now mean that slightly more than 7ha of employment land is provided at the Service Centres, the change is minor and does not affect the SA findings for policy CS10 as presented in the March 2013 SA Report.
Policy CS12: Green Infrastructure			
MM8	Amend Key Diagram	<i>Amendment to Key Diagram - See Annex A</i>	No change to SA findings. The amendment to the key diagram has been made to reflect the deletion of policy CS24 (Shepshed Direction of Growth), which has been assessed separately in terms of its implications for the SA findings.
Policy CS15: Open Spaces, Sports and Recreation			
MM9	Policy CS15 Page 84 Amend policy text	retaining open space, sport and recreation facilities where unless they are of value to the community clearly surplus to requirements and or replacement provision of at least equal quantity and quality where they cannot be retained will be made in a suitable location ;	No change to SA findings. The change to the wording of this policy does not affect the likely significant positive effect on SA objective 15 (access to countryside and open space) that was identified in the March 2013 SA Report.
Policy CS18: The Local and Strategic Road Network			
MM10	Policy CS18 Page 93 – 95 Amend policy Paragraphs 8.27	delivering a Loughborough Inner Relief Road <i>Delete Paragraph 8.27</i>	The removal of the reference to delivering the Loughborough Inner Relief Road reflects the fact that the scheme has now been completed. The March 2013 SA findings for policy CS18 made reference to potential benefits of the road (including reducing congestion and air pollution, making efficient use of land and increasing the attractiveness of the town centre). These effects are still likely to occur, but not directly as a result of the Core Strategy. The appraisal was also based on the other provisions made in policy CS18 as a whole and not just the part of the policy referring to the Inner Relief Road. Therefore, there is no change to the SA findings set out in the March 2013 SA Report. The deletion of paragraph 8.27 reflects the

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
			removal of policy CS24 as it referred to the Shepshed Direction of Growth which was allocated through that policy.
Policy CS19: North East of Leicester Sustainable Urban Extension			
MM11	Policy CS19 Pages 96 – 105 Amend policy text Paragraphs 9.8, 9.9, 9.11 and 9.19 Amend map	<p>We will allocate land to north east of Leicester as a sustainable urban extension to deliver a community of approximately 4,500 homes. The development will make a significant contribution to meeting our housing needs by delivering at least 3,750 approximately 3,250 homes by 2028 and the remaining homes beyond the plan period.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requiring a permanent site for gypsies and travellers of at least 4 pitches and a site of at least 4 plots for showpeople in accordance with Policy CS5. • Providing one main accessible Local Centre delivered as part of an early phase of development that is accessible to both new and existing communities, including as a minimum, local shops and a supermarket (up to 1,000m² net), small scale employment and arrange of non-retail and community facilities and services in accordance with Policy CS9; <p>9.8 The site provides an opportunity to create a community of approximately 4,500 homes with new jobs which will support the delivery of significant community facilities including schools. At least 3,750 Approximately 3,250 homes will be delivered by 2028 in the plan period. . .</p> <p>9.9 The red line boundary on the map below shows the land allocated for the North East of Leicester Sustainable Urban Extension on the Policies Map. It also shows a concept masterplan for the site for illustrative purposes. We will work with our partners to refine the masterplan as more detailed evidence is prepared.</p> <p>9.11 The sustainable urban extension will make a significant contribution to our strategic housing needs, delivering at least 3,750 approximately 3,250 of the allocated 4,500 homes by 2028. . .</p> <p>9.19 . . . Our evidence suggests that the development should include one new main Local Centre that provides a mixture of small scale employment and local shops, a supermarket (up to 1,000m² net) and a range of non-retail services such as a bank or a public house. The scale of 'town centre' uses within the new centre should support our strategy for the</p>	<p>The total amount of housing allocated at the SUE has not changed; however 500 fewer homes would now be delivered during the plan period (by 2028). Therefore, although the likely effects of policy CS19 have not changed from those identified in the March 2013 SA Report, the timescales over which the effects would occur could be affected slightly, being weighted slightly more towards the period after 2028.</p> <p>The introduction of the term 'at least' in relation to the number of showpeople plots to be provided reflects changes to policy CS5 which have been assessed separately above in terms of their implications for the SA findings.</p> <p>The changes to the supporting text reflect the changes made to policy CS19 and will not change the SA findings.</p> <p>The amendment to the SUE map now shows the proposed link road, which was already included in the policy and appraised in the March 2013 SA Report.</p>

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		<p>regeneration of Thurmaston Local Centre and to protect the vitality and viability of surrounding centres. For this reason the size of non-food retail units should be limited to 500m² net.</p> <p><i>Amendment to North East of Leicester Map - See Annex A</i></p>	
Policy CS20: North of Birstall Direction of Growth			
MM12	<p>Policy CS20 Pages 105 – 113 Amend policy Paragraphs 9.39, 9.40, 9.46 and 9.54</p>	<p>We will identify a direction of growth to the north of Birstall for a sustainable urban extension <u>to deliver a garden suburb of approximately 1,500 homes.</u> The development will make a significant contribution to meeting our housing needs by delivering a garden suburb of at least 1,500 <u>approximately 1,345</u> homes by 2028 <u>and the remaining homes beyond the plan period.</u></p> <p>The sustainable urban extension will <u>be to the north of the A46, west of the A6, east of the Great Central Railway line and to the south and west of the Broadnook Spinney. It will</u> create a balanced community and a safe, high quality and accessible environment. We will do this by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requiring a site of <u>at least</u> 4 plots for showpeople in accordance with Policy CS5. • Providing one accessible Local Centre delivered as part of an early phase of development, including as a minimum, local shops and a small supermarket (up to 1,000m² net), small scale employment and a range of non-retail and community facilities and services including a community centre in accordance with Policy CS9; • a new link road from the new roundabout on the A6 to the Wanlip junction to the south east and a Wanlip bypass to Rectory Road; <p>9.39 We have identified a direction of growth for our second sustainable urban extension adjacent to the Leicester Principal Urban Area <u>at Birstall. This sustainable urban extension will be</u> to the north of the A46, <u>west of the A6, east of the Great Central Railway and to the south and west of the Broadnook Spinney.</u></p> <p>9.40 . . .This development, of at least <u>will deliver approximately</u> 1,500 homes, new jobs and community facilities <u>and</u> provides an opportunity to respond to this unique and high quality context. <u>Approximately 1,345 homes will be delivered by 2028.</u> The area benefits from excellent connections with the City and is adjacent to the Great Central Railway.</p>	<p>The total number of homes to be provided at this location has not changed; however the trajectory for delivering the housing has changed, with approximately 155 homes now proposed to come forward after the plan period (after 2028). The likely effects of the development as identified in the March 2013 SA Report have not therefore changed, however the timescales over which the effects would occur could be affected slightly, being weighted slightly more towards the period after 2028.</p> <p>The additional text describing the location of the direction of growth provides further information only and the location has not changed.</p> <p>The addition of the term 'at least' in relation to the provision of showpeople plots is made to reflect changes to policy CS5 which have been assessed separately above in terms of their implications for the SA findings.</p> <p>The removal of the wording relating to the link road reflects the fact that transport modelling work has shown the Council that the road is not necessary. Therefore, any effects associated with the link road would no longer occur. The March 2013 SA Report identified the potential for the link road to have negative effects on SA objective 1 (biodiversity), 2 (landscape), 4 (cultural heritage), 9 (mineral consumption); however the potential effects identified were associated with the full range of proposed development in the policy and not only the link road. Therefore, the removal of the link road provision from the policy would not affect the SA</p>

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		<p>9.46 The sustainable urban extension will make a significant contribution to our strategic housing needs delivering at least 1,500 approximately 1,345 homes by 2028. . .</p> <p>9.54 . . . Our evidence suggests that the development should include one new Local Centre that provides a mixture of small scale employment and local shops, a supermarket (up to 1,000m² net) and a range of non-retail services such as a bank or a public house. The scale of 'town centre' uses within the new centre should protect the vitality and viability of surrounding centres. For this reason the size of non food retail units should be limited to 500 m² net.</p>	<p>scores. Reference was also made in the SA to the potential for the provision of the link road to encourage ongoing car use which could have negative effects on SA objectives 6 (air quality), 7 (climate change) and 16 (sustainable economy). However, even without the link road proposal the policy includes other proposals for improving the highway network; therefore the likely effects on these SA objectives remain unchanged.</p> <p>The changes to the supporting text reflect the changes made to policy CS20 and do not change the SA findings.</p>
Policy CS21: Watermead Regeneration Corridor – Direction of Growth			
MM13	Policy CS21 Page 116 – 117 Amend policy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redevelop Pinfold Industrial Park and Bridge Business Park for homes, leisure and jobs in accordance with Policies CS6 and CS9; • Provide for up to 8,750 m² sgm for offices and around 16 ha for employment and a hotel accessed off Wanlip Road in accordance with Policy Policies CS6 and CS9; 	No change to SA findings. The minor wording change has been made to cross refer to other relevant Core Strategy policies and does not influence the likely effects of policy CS21 as described in the March 2013 SA Report.
Policy CS22: West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension			
MM14	Policy CS22 Page 118 – 128 Amend policy Paragraphs 10.10, 10.11, 10.12 and 10.20 Amend map	<p>We will allocate land to the west of Loughborough as a sustainable urban extension to deliver a community of approximately 3,000 homes. The development will make a significant contribution to meeting our housing needs by delivering at least 2,500 approximately 2,440 homes by 2028 and the remaining homes beyond the plan period.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requiring a permanent site for gypsies and travellers of at least 4 pitches and a site of at least 4 plots for showpeople in accordance with Policy CS5. • Providing one accessible Local Centre, delivered as part of an early phase of development, including as a minimum, local shops and a small supermarket (up to 2,000 m² net), small scale employment and a range of non-retail and community facilities and services in accordance with Policy CS9; • Protecting and mitigating impacts on historic and archaeological features including Garendon Historic Registered Park and Garden, and the scheduled monument and listed buildings within the Park in 	<p>The total number of homes to be provided at this location has not changed; however the trajectory for delivering the housing has changed with slightly more (560 instead of 500) now proposed to come forward after the plan period (after 2028). The likely effects of the development, as identified in the March 2013 SA Report, have not therefore changed although the timescales over which effects would be experienced has changed very slightly, being weighted slightly more towards the period after 2028.</p> <p>The addition of the term 'at least' in relation to the provision of showpeople plots is made to reflect changes to policy CS5 which have been assessed separately above in terms of their implications for the SA findings.</p>

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		<p>accordance with Policy CS14.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide public access to, restoration and long term management of Garendon Historic Registered Park and Gardens as a public park and historic heritage assets consistent with their significance. as part of <p><i>New bullet [Environment]</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide an accessible, comprehensive and high quality network of multi-functional green spaces in accordance with our open space standards in accordance with CS 15 and CS12. The package of..... <p><i>New bullet [We will do this...]</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>a Heritage Strategy to inform the detailed mitigation proposals for the restoration and long term management of heritage assets.</u> <p>10.10 The site provides an opportunity to create a community of approximately 3,000 homes and new jobs which will support the delivery of significant community facilities including schools. At least 2,500 Approximately 2,440 homes will be delivered by 2028 in the plan period. The new community will be well connected with the existing infrastructure of Loughborough and Shepshed and open up an historic parkland for public enjoyment.</p> <p>10.11 The red line boundary on the map below shows the land allocated for the west of Loughborough sustainable urban extension on the Policies Map. It also shows a concept masterplan for the site for illustrative purposes. We will work with our partners to refine the masterplan as more detailed evidence is prepared.</p> <p>10.12 The sustainable urban extension will make a significant contribution to our strategic housing needs, delivering at least 2,500 approximately 2,440 of the allocated 3,000 homes by 2028. . .</p> <p>10.20 . . . Our evidence suggests that the development should include one new Local Centre that provides a mixture of small scale employment and local shops, a supermarket (up to 2,000m² net) and a range of non-retail</p>	<p>The additional wording requiring a Heritage Strategy to inform mitigation proposals for the restoration and long term management of heritage assets will provide some additional mitigation for the potential significant negative effect on SA objective 4 that was identified in the March 2013 SA Report. Therefore, the effect on SA objective 4 is reduced to a potential but uncertain minor negative (-?) effect. This also reflects the view of English Heritage, who provided a statement during the examination⁵ hearings stating that the level of harm to heritage assets at Garendon Park as a result of proposed development has reduced from substantial to less than substantial as a result of changes to the scheme and the comprehensive mitigation package.</p> <p>The changes to the supporting text reflect the changes made to policy CS22 and do not change the SA findings.</p> <p>The amendment that has been made to the map of the West of Loughborough SUE has been made to correct an error in the previous version and does not change the SA findings.</p>

⁵ English Heritage (November 2014) Charnwood Borough Council Local Plan Core Strategy Examination in Public – Hearing Statement by English Heritage: Matter No. 12: West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension.

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		<p>services such as a bank or a public house. The scale of 'town centre' uses within the new Local Centre should support our strategy for the regeneration of Loughborough Town Centre and Shepshed District Centre and to protect the vitality and viability of surrounding centres, whilst also responding to the lack of provision for food shopping in the west of Loughborough. For this reason the size of non food retail units should be limited to 500m2 net.</p> <p>10.24 . . . The sustainable urban extension will deliver a new strategic distributor road from the A512 to the A6 north of Loughborough. <u>This will run through Garendon Registered Park and Garden, aligned closely with the M1 motorway. Through the Park, this will have the character of an estate road and be designed sympathetically to help reduce impact on the heritage assets.</u> This strategic distributor will include a link to Hathern Road which connects the development to Shepshed and Hathern. . .</p> <p>10.27 The sustainable urban extension is located to the north of Garendon Historic <u>Registered</u> Park and Garden. . . There is also potential for unscheduled archaeology in the area. The development provides the opportunity to restore the park and garden and its monuments and provide public access for the first time, securing its long term future.</p> <p>10.28 We expect the registered park and the heritage features to be borne in mind at the start of the design process and any adverse impacts to be mitigated through careful design of the development and road in consultation with English Heritage. <u>The development provides the opportunity to restore the park and garden and its monuments and provide appropriate public access for the first time, securing its long term future. We expect these opportunities, together with careful design, to inform a comprehensive strategy to mitigate the impact of development on the Park.</u></p> <p>10.35 The sustainable urban extension will provide <u>appropriate</u> public access to Garendon Historic <u>Registered</u> Park and Garden, providing <u>This will provide</u> a formal park-, <u>including</u> We want this to provide a recreation and leisure focal point for the development <u>space in keeping with the character of the historic park.</u> and reduce pressure from large visitor numbers on Charnwood Forest.</p> <p><i>Amendment to West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension Map – See Annex A</i></p>	

Policy CS23: Loughborough University and Science & Enterprise Park

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report																									
MM15	Policy CS23 Page 128 – 135 Amend policy Paragraph 10.63	<p>By 2028 the Science and Enterprise Park will deliver at least 111,000 m² sqm of space in a landscaped campus that:</p> <p><i>New Bullets</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <u>provides for appropriate ancillary uses to serve the Science and Enterprise Park and ensures that any main town centre uses are in accordance with Policy CS9;</u> <u>protects historic and archaeological features including the setting of Garendon Registered Park and Gardens and its assets in accordance with Policy CS14;</u> <p>We will do this by working with our public and private sector partners, including Loughborough University, to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> prepare a flexible Development Framework, including delivery and phasing arrangements and a masterplan that sets parameters and a phasing strategy for the delivery of a cohesive development that provides for the following sectors and ranges over the plan period: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>Years 1 to 5 (m²)</th> <th>Years 6 to 10 (m²)</th> <th>Year 10 to 15 (m²)</th> <th>Total (m²)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Innovation Centre</td> <td>750-1,500</td> <td>1,500-3,000</td> <td>750-1,500</td> <td>3,000-6,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Grow on Space</td> <td>5,625-9,375</td> <td>11,250-18,750</td> <td>5,625-9,375</td> <td>22,500-37,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Inward Investment</td> <td>5,625-9,375</td> <td>11,250-18,750</td> <td>5,625-9,375</td> <td>22,500-37,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>University Space</td> <td>5,625-7,500</td> <td>11,250-15,000</td> <td>5,625-7,500</td> <td>22,500-30,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Years 1 to 5 (m²)	Years 6 to 10 (m²)	Year 10 to 15 (m²)	Total (m²)	Innovation Centre	750-1,500	1,500-3,000	750-1,500	3,000-6,000	Grow on Space	5,625-9,375	11,250-18,750	5,625-9,375	22,500-37,500	Inward Investment	5,625-9,375	11,250-18,750	5,625-9,375	22,500-37,500	University Space	5,625-7,500	11,250-15,000	5,625-7,500	22,500-30,000	<p>A number of minor wording amendments have been made to policy CS23 which do not affect the SA findings.</p> <p>The table setting out the area of employment land that can be delivered at the Science and Enterprise Park within the defined five year periods has been moved into the supporting text but this does not affect the SA findings.</p> <p>A potential significant negative effect on SA objective 4 (cultural heritage) was identified in the March 2013 SA Report as a result of the potential impacts on nearby Garendon Registered Park and Gardens, and English Heritage also raised concerns about this in its written statement to the Inspector, and recommended that an additional heritage mitigation criterion was added to the policy⁶. The additional text added to policy CS23 provides further mitigation for the potential significant negative effect on SA objective 4 and it is therefore reduced to a potential but uncertain minor negative (-?) effect.</p>
	Years 1 to 5 (m²)	Years 6 to 10 (m²)	Year 10 to 15 (m²)	Total (m²)																								
Innovation Centre	750-1,500	1,500-3,000	750-1,500	3,000-6,000																								
Grow on Space	5,625-9,375	11,250-18,750	5,625-9,375	22,500-37,500																								
Inward Investment	5,625-9,375	11,250-18,750	5,625-9,375	22,500-37,500																								
University Space	5,625-7,500	11,250-15,000	5,625-7,500	22,500-30,000																								

⁶ English Heritage (November 2014) Charnwood Borough Council Local Plan Core Strategy Examination in Public – Hearing Statement by English Heritage: Matter No. 13: Loughborough University and Science and Enterprise Park.

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report																									
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> establish an economic development strategy to capture the wider benefits of the development; and support the University in the development of management and marketing practises that assist the delivery of the Science and Enterprise Park. <p><u>We will require the flexible Development Framework and detailed planning applications to be informed by</u> a Green Infrastructure Strategy to inform the development of detailed proposals and long term management;—and a Sustainability Assessment that identifies the developments response to carbon emissions reduction and climate change resilience.</p> <p>10.63 The advantages of its unique location and quality landscape will demand a phased approach capable of both promoting appropriate development and responding positively to opportunities as they arise. <u>However, our evidence suggests that within the appropriate sectors the Science and Enterprise Park could, in broad terms, provide for the following ranges over the plan period:</u></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="607 842 1509 1350"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>Years 1 to 5 (sqm)</u></th> <th><u>Years 6-10 (sqm)</u></th> <th><u>Year 11-15 (sqm)</u></th> <th><u>Total (sqm)</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>Innovation Centre</u></td> <td><u>750-1,500</u></td> <td><u>1,500-3,000</u></td> <td><u>750-1,500</u></td> <td><u>3,000-6,000</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Grow on Space</u></td> <td><u>5,625-9,375</u></td> <td><u>11,250-18,750</u></td> <td><u>5,625-9,375</u></td> <td><u>22,500-37,500</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Inward Investment</u></td> <td><u>5,625-9,375</u></td> <td><u>11,250-18,750</u></td> <td><u>5,625-9,375</u></td> <td><u>22,500-37,500</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>University Space</u></td> <td><u>5,625-7,500</u></td> <td><u>11,250-15,000</u></td> <td><u>5,625-7,500</u></td> <td><u>22,500-30,000</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>New Paragraph</i></p>		<u>Years 1 to 5 (sqm)</u>	<u>Years 6-10 (sqm)</u>	<u>Year 11-15 (sqm)</u>	<u>Total (sqm)</u>	<u>Innovation Centre</u>	<u>750-1,500</u>	<u>1,500-3,000</u>	<u>750-1,500</u>	<u>3,000-6,000</u>	<u>Grow on Space</u>	<u>5,625-9,375</u>	<u>11,250-18,750</u>	<u>5,625-9,375</u>	<u>22,500-37,500</u>	<u>Inward Investment</u>	<u>5,625-9,375</u>	<u>11,250-18,750</u>	<u>5,625-9,375</u>	<u>22,500-37,500</u>	<u>University Space</u>	<u>5,625-7,500</u>	<u>11,250-15,000</u>	<u>5,625-7,500</u>	<u>22,500-30,000</u>	
	<u>Years 1 to 5 (sqm)</u>	<u>Years 6-10 (sqm)</u>	<u>Year 11-15 (sqm)</u>	<u>Total (sqm)</u>																								
<u>Innovation Centre</u>	<u>750-1,500</u>	<u>1,500-3,000</u>	<u>750-1,500</u>	<u>3,000-6,000</u>																								
<u>Grow on Space</u>	<u>5,625-9,375</u>	<u>11,250-18,750</u>	<u>5,625-9,375</u>	<u>22,500-37,500</u>																								
<u>Inward Investment</u>	<u>5,625-9,375</u>	<u>11,250-18,750</u>	<u>5,625-9,375</u>	<u>22,500-37,500</u>																								
<u>University Space</u>	<u>5,625-7,500</u>	<u>11,250-15,000</u>	<u>5,625-7,500</u>	<u>22,500-30,000</u>																								

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
		We do not want to see ad hoc and poorly related development take place on the Science and Enterprise Park. We expect a masterplan to be developed that provides a positive framework for an integrated development.	
Policy CS24: Shepshed Direction of Growth			
MM16	Policy CS24 Page 135 – 140 Delete policy Paragraphs 10.4 and 10.6 Paragraphs 10.66 and 10.67 – 10.87	<p><i>Delete Policy CS24 and all references to it throughout the Core Strategy</i></p> <p>10.4 The majority of this growth will be delivered in the West Loughborough Growth Area. The growth area includes a major sustainable urban extension to Loughborough and an extension to the Loughborough Science and Enterprise Park. Our plans also include a direction of growth for a smaller urban extension adjoining Shepshed. <u>The Plan also recognises the amount of homes with commitments at Shepshed and the need to amend the settlement boundary to reflect those commitments.</u></p> <p><i>Delete Paragraph 10.6</i></p> <p>Shepshed Direction of Growth</p> <p>The Location</p> <p>10.66 We have identified a direction of growth for a strategic development adjacent to Shepshed. This direction of growth provides an opportunity to deliver at least 500 homes and support the regeneration of Shepshed. <u>There are commitments for around 1,200 new homes at Shepshed. This is enough to satisfy the strategic need for homes at Shepshed identified by Policy CS1. This development, along with benefits associated with the town's proximity to the western growth area, will help address the decline of the town centre.</u></p> <p>The Vision</p> <p>10.67 We have prepared a Vision for the Shepshed direction of growth based on the Charnwood Regeneration Strategy and the need to address issues of out commuting and District Centre decline as described Policy CS8. <u>We will not identify any further extensions and will amend the settlement boundary to reflect these commitments at Shepshed through our Site Allocations and Development Management Development Plan Document.</u></p> <p><i>Delete Paragraphs 10.68 – 10.87 and Vision for Shepshed Direction of Growth</i></p>	<p>The deletion of policy CS24 means that the likely effects of the policy as described in the March 2013 SA Report would no longer occur as a result of the Core Strategy. At that stage, a likely significant positive effect was identified in relation to SA objectives 13 (housing), and likely significant negative effects were identified in relation to SA objectives 2 (landscape) and 10 (soil and land use).</p> <p>While the housing at Shepshed would no longer be delivered through the Core Strategy this does not mean that housing needs would not be met as the required housing at Shepshed has come forward in advance of the Core Strategy. Similarly, the potential negative effects on landscape and land use are also likely to occur, but not directly as a result of the Core Strategy.</p> <p>The amendments to the supporting text reflect the deletion of policy CS24, and do not change the SA findings.</p>

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
Policy CS25: Delivering Infrastructure			
MM17	Policy CS25 Page 141 - 144 Amend policy Paragraphs 11.10 and 11.15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • giving consideration publishing a Charging Schedule leading to the implementation of a Community Infrastructure Levy; <p>11.10 We expect our partners to agree a core suite of infrastructure contributions and regular review processes. We will review these agreements as conditions change and phases of development progress. <u>The Infrastructure Schedule at Appendix 2 gives an indication of infrastructure requirements and costs. The Schedule is an extract from the Infrastructure Delivery Plan at the time of preparing this Core Strategy. We will keep under review the assessment of essential infrastructure requirements and costs with our partners throughout the plan period to inform the implementation of Policy CS25.</u> We recognise.....</p> <p>11.15 We are working will work with our local authority partners in Leicestershire to prepare a common evidence base and publish assess the potential for a Community Infrastructure Levy Charging Schedule. <u>We will consider implementing the Levy subject to viability testing and consultation.</u> We will....</p>	The amendment to the wording of policy CS25 reflects the fact that a Community Infrastructure Levy may be pursued, although this is currently uncertain. This could indicate that there is now less certainty surrounding the provision of the infrastructure that is required to meet the needs of the growing population, e.g. schools, healthcare facilities, green infrastructure and wastewater treatment facilities. However, even without a Community Infrastructure Levy, the delivery of infrastructure would still be necessary for permissions for housing developments to be granted and Section 106 agreements would continue to be used. Therefore, there are no changes to the SA findings set out in the March 2013 SA Report.
Appendix 1: Charnwood Housing Trajectory			
MM18	Appendix 1 Page 148 Replace Housing Trajectory Paragraph 12.12 Page 147	<p><i>Delete Housing Trajectory in Appendix 1 – Charnwood Housing Trajectory and replace with amended trajectory – See Annex B</i></p> <p>12.12 The trajectory has been compiled using information from a wide range of sources. It sets out past and anticipated future performance <u>over the period 2011 to 2028</u>. Past completions (since 2006 2011) have been built into the trajectory to show the progress that has already been made towards our housing targets.</p>	No change to SA findings. The housing trajectory has been amended to reflect and the up to date objectively assessed need, completions and commitments and changes to the housing numbers set out in the Core Strategy policies. These changes have been assessed separately above in terms of their impacts on the SA findings.
Appendix 3: Charnwood Monitoring Framework			
MM19	Appendix 3 Page 159 - 173 Replace	<p><i>Delete Monitoring Framework in Appendix 3 – Charnwood Monitoring Framework and replace with amended framework – See Annex C</i></p>	No change to SA findings. The monitoring framework will be used to monitor the effects of the Core Strategy as it is implemented and has not itself been subject to SA. However, a small number of changes have been made to the SA

Modification Reference	Policy/Page/ Paragraph	Modification	Implications for SA findings as set out in March 2013 SA Report
	Monitoring Framework		monitoring framework as a result of the changes to the monitoring framework in the Core Strategy, and these are shown in Appendix 2 of this SA Addendum.
MM20	New Appendix 5	<i>Add new Appendix 5 – Existing Local Plan Policies - detailing which policies in the existing adopted Local Plan will be superseded by which policies in the Core Strategy – See Annex D</i>	No change to SA findings. The Appendix provides information about the status of Local Plan policies, the effects of which have been considered through the SA.

Appendix 2

Updated SA Monitoring Framework

Table A2.1: Updated suggested framework for monitoring potential significant sustainability effects arising from implementation of the Charnwood Core Strategy

NB: Indicators highlighted in bold are those that have been added since this table was originally included in the March 2013 SA Report.

SA objectives for which potential significant effects have been identified	Policies that are likely to lead to significant negative effects	Policies that are likely to lead to significant positive effects	Proposed indicators
1: To maintain and enhance biodiversity, flora and fauna and geodiversity	CS22: West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension CS23: Loughborough University and Science and Enterprise Park	CS12: Green Infrastructure CS13: Biodiversity and Geodiversity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Planning application allowed/refused in Green Wedges • Change in areas and populations of biodiversity importance, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I. Change in priority habitats and species (by type); and II. Change in areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value including sites of international, national, regional or sub-regional significance. III. The area of land designated as an SSSI which is in 'unfavourable condition'. • Completed development that has resulted in the loss or creation/restoration of BAP habitats. • Change in Regionally Important Geological Sites (RIGS).
2: To maintain and enhance townscape and landscape character	CS22: West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension CS23: Loughborough University and Science and Enterprise Park	CS2: High Quality Design CS4: Houses in Multiple Occupation CS7: Regeneration of Loughborough CS9: Town Centre and Shops CS11: Landscape and Countryside CS12: Green Infrastructure CS14: Heritage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of schemes granted planning permission in Areas of Separation contrary to the policy. • Reductions in areas designated for their high intrinsic environmental and landscape value • Number of major developments in Areas of Local Separation • Percentage of landscape character areas where there are marked changes or significant changes that are inconsistent with that character. • Change in number of areas defined as 'tranquil'. • % of vacant dwellings. • The number of up to date conservation area appraisals and management plans.
3: To increase the vibrancy and viability of settlements	CS22: West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension	CS2: High Quality Design CS4: Houses in Multiple Occupation CS7: Regeneration of Loughborough CS8: Regeneration of Shepshed CS9: Town Centres and Shops CS15: Open Spaces, Sport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of sites and projects delivered as identified in the Loughborough and Shepshed Town Centre Regeneration Strategies up to 2028. • Total amount of completed retail, office and leisure development in 'town centre' areas • Planning application allowed/refused in Green Wedges • Number of major developments in Areas of Local Separation • Number of opportunity sites to be redeveloped for town centre uses • Percentage of new residential development within 30 minutes public transport time of a GP, hospital, primary and secondary school,

SA objectives for which potential significant effects have been identified	Policies that are likely to lead to significant negative effects	Policies that are likely to lead to significant positive effects	Proposed indicators
		and Recreation CS21: Watermead Regeneration Corridor- Direction of Growth CS25: Delivering Infrastructure	employment and a major health centre. • Amount of completed retail, office and leisure development.
4: To conserve and enhance the historic and cultural environment	<i>No significant negative effects identified.</i>	CS14: Heritage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of designated heritage assets at risk in the Borough • Percentage of applications involving total or substantial demolition of a listed building • Loss of Scheduled Ancient Monuments. • Loss or damage to an historic park or garden and its setting.
6: To improve local air quality	<i>No significant negative effects identified.</i>	CS17: Sustainable Travel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rate of transport modal shift across the Borough (6% target with SUEs/SEP achieving more) • Number of days of air pollution (i.e. limits exceeded). • Population living in Air Quality Management Areas. • Number of businesses with a Company Travel Plan and percentage of workforce employed by companies with a Travel Plan. • Number of schools with a School Travel Plan and percentage of pupils attending schools with a Travel Plan. • Number of new cycle routes developed during the year. • % reduction in NOx and primary PM10 emissions through local authority's estate and operations.
7: To reduce the Borough's contribution to and vulnerability to climate change including a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions	<i>No significant negative effects identified.</i>	CS16: Sustainable Construction and Energy CS17: Sustainable Travel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Renewable energy installation installed by type • Number of new homes built above 10% above Part L of Building Regulations • Amount of energy being provided from renewable or low carbon energy developments. • Number of major developments that have a green travel plan. • Amount of new development at SUEs, directions for growth and service centres with access to a half hour frequency public transport service. • CO2 reduction from local authority operations. • Per capita reduction in CO2 emissions in the LA area. • Energy use per household. • Percentage of new residential, commercial, retail and office developments built to a 'good', 'very good', or 'excellent' BREEAM or EcoHomes rating. • Number of homes meeting the building for life criteria.
8: To reduce vulnerability to flooding	<i>No significant negative effects identified.</i>	CS16: Sustainable Construction and Energy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds

SA objectives for which potential significant effects have been identified	Policies that are likely to lead to significant negative effects	Policies that are likely to lead to significant positive effects	Proposed indicators
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of developments accompanied by a water management plan • Number of new developments given planning permission that incorporate sustainable drainage systems. • Number of new developments given planning permission that mitigate against flood risk. • Number of new developments (ha) located in Flood Zone 3.
10: To protect soil resources and quality and make efficient use of land and buildings	CS20: North of Birstall Direction of Growth	<i>No significant positive effects identified.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total amount of employment floorspace on previously developed land • Percentage of new and converted dwellings on previously developed land • Area of Agricultural Land Classification grading 1, 2 and 3a lost to development. • Previously developed land that has been vacant or derelict for more than 5 years. • Number of contaminated sites. • Number of developments incorporating soil loss minimisation measures.
12: To increase healthy lifestyles	<i>No significant negative effects identified.</i>	CS15: Open Spaces, Sport and Recreation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local and sub-regional measurements of deprivation • Percentage of new residential development within 30 minutes public transport time of a GP, hospital, primary and secondary school, employment and a major health centre. • Death rates (Number of deaths per 1000 population) for cancer, circulatory disease, accidents and suicides. • All-age all-cause mortality rate. • Self-reported measure of people's overall health and wellbeing. • Healthy life expectancy at age 65. • Obesity levels. • Number of allergy related illnesses and asthma. • Number of people suffering from long term illness. • Number of people suffering from diabetes.
13: To ensure that the housing stock meet the housing needs of all sections of the community	<i>No significant negative effects identified.</i>	CS1: Development Strategy CS3: Strategic Housing Needs CS5: Gypsies and Travellers CS19: North East of Leicester Sustainable Urban Extension CS20: North of Birstall	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of housing completions and projected completions) • Net additional Gypsy and Traveller pitches • Affordable housing completions • Housing quality in new housing development based on Building for Life Assessments • Number of new homes built above 10% above Part L of Building Regulations • Number of unfit homes per 1000 dwellings. • Number of households living in temporary accommodation. • Percentage of non-decent council homes.

SA objectives for which potential significant effects have been identified	Policies that are likely to lead to significant negative effects	Policies that are likely to lead to significant positive effects	Proposed indicators
		Direction of Growth CS22: West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Supply of ready to develop housing sites.) • Local authority tenants' satisfaction with landlord services. • Number of homeless people.
14: To increase access to a wide range of services and facilities	<i>No significant negative effects identified.</i>	CS8: Regeneration of Shepshed CS9: Town Centres and Shops CS12: Green Infrastructure CS19: North East of Leicester Sustainable Urban Extension CS20: North of Birstall Direction of Growth CS22: West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension CS25: Delivering Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amount of residential completions within 30 minutes public transport time of a GP, a hospital, a primary school, a secondary school, an employment centre and a major health centre. • Percentage of residents defined as within a distance of 500m (15 minutes walk) of key local services. • Access to services and facilities by public transport, walking and cycling.
15: To increase access to the countryside, open space and semi urban environments (e.g. parks)	<i>No significant negative effects identified.</i>	CS15: Open Spaces, Sport and Recreation CS19: North East of Leicester Sustainable Urban Extension CS21: Watermead Regeneration Corridor- Direction of Growth CS22: West of Loughborough Sustainable Urban Extension	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage of residents that are satisfied with the quantity and quality of open space in their area. • Access to green spaces in line with the standards set out in the Open Space Strategy.
16: To encourage a sustainable economy supported by efficient patterns of movement attractive to investors	<i>No significant negative effects identified.</i>	CS1: Development Strategy CS6: Employment and Economic Development CS7: Regeneration of Loughborough CS10: Rural Economic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total amount of additional employment floorspace • Number of new jobs created • Employment land available • Total amount of completed retail, office and leisure development in 'town centre' areas • Employment land lost to non-employment uses • Employment land lost to residential use

SA objectives for which potential significant effects have been identified	Policies that are likely to lead to significant negative effects	Policies that are likely to lead to significant positive effects	Proposed indicators
		Development CS23: Loughborough University and Science and Enterprise Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Percentage of large scale town centre development within Local, District and Loughborough Town Centre. • Number of opportunity sites to be redeveloped for town centre uses • Percentage of working age people in employment. • Percentage change in VAT registered businesses. • Average earnings. • New business registration rate. • Travel to work journeys by mode.
17: To reduce disparities in economic performance and improve skills and employability	<i>No significant negative effects identified.</i>	CS6: Employment and Economic Development CS23: Loughborough University and Science and Enterprise Park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total amount of additional employment floorspace • Number of new work-based apprenticeships offered annually. • Number of new school places created annually. • Percentage of population that have attained a qualification of NVQ2 and above • Proportion of young people (18 –24 year olds) in full time education or in employment. • 16 to 18 year olds who are not in education, employment or training (NEET).